

Comment on the paper of Jaffe et al (astro-ph/0503213) on evidence of vorticity and shear of the Universe

D. PALLE

Zavod za teorijsku fiziku

Institut Rugjer Bošković

Pošt. Pret. 180, HR-10002 Zagreb, CROATIA

We show that recent results in the paper of Jaffe et al on evidence of vorticity and shear of the Universe are incorrect. They fit WMAP data with certain Bianchi model which is known to have a vanishing vorticity. The performed fit of the shear is not reliable because the respective formula seems not to be gauge invariant. We suggest a theoretical framework to analyze asymmetric large scale WMAP data.

Few independent analyses of WMAP data claim the existence of the anisotropy in the large-scale spectrum of the CMBR fluctuations. This stimulates a study of the data by cosmological models beyond that of the Robertson-Walker. Jaffe et al [1] attempt to fit data with some Bianchi model using formulae of Ref. [2] with their definition of "vorticity" and shear. However, it is very well known that standardly defined spacetime vorticity(ω)[3] vanishes for these Bianchi models [4]

$$\omega_{ab} = \omega_{[ab]} = h_a^c h_b^d u_{[c;d]},$$

$$\omega = [\frac{1}{2}\omega^{ab}\omega_{ab}]^{1/2},$$

$$[ab] = \frac{1}{2}(ab - ba), \quad u^a u_a = -1, \quad h_{ab} = g_{ab} + u_a u_b.$$

The apparent "vorticity" of Ref. [2] is just certain space rotation proportional to the shear components, as can be seen from their formulae. The standard spacetime vorticity is completely independent physical observable, such as the shear, and it is a part of the decomposition of the covariant derivative of the velocity vector [3]

$$\begin{aligned} u_{a;b} &= \frac{1}{3}h_{ab}\Theta + \sigma_{ab} + \omega_{ab} - a_a u_b, \\ \Theta &= u^a{}_{;a}, \quad a_a = u_{a;b}u^b, \\ \sigma_{ab} &= h_a{}^c h_b{}^d (u_{(c;d}) - \frac{1}{3}h_{cd}\Theta), \\ \sigma &= [\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab}]^{1/2}, \quad (ab) = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba). \end{aligned}$$

One cannot accept even the estimate of the shear(σ) [1] because they apply some relations for the fluctuations of the CMBR [2] which are not proved to be gauge invariant [5, 6]. We can hardly believe that one-year WMAP data are sensitive to such a small shear, estimated to be ten orders of magnitude smaller than the Hubble constant [1].

Few years ago we proposed [7] a method to extract the shear by the Sachs-Wolfe effect from very difficult measurements of quasar absorption spectra.

It is also possible to quantify vorticity in gauge invariant way, calculating the spatial gradients of the CMBR fluctuations at large scales [8]. Complete all-scale analysis of the fluctuations requires the fit with a gauge-invariant evolution of density contrasts in models beyond that of the Robertson-Walker [9].

References

- [1] T. R. Jaffe et al: **astro-ph/0503213**
- [2] J. D. Barrow, R. Juszkiewicz and D. H. Sonoda: MNRAS **213** (1985) 917
- [3] J. Ehlers: Akad. Wiss. Lit. (Mainz), Abhandl. Math.-Nat. Kl. No. **11** (1961)
- [4] G. F. R. Ellis and M. A. H. MacCallum: Comm. Math. Phys. **12** (1969) 108

- [5] J. M. Bardeen: Phys. Rev. **D 22** (1980) 1882
- [6] G. F. R. Ellis and M. Bruni: Phys. Rev. **D 40** (1989) 1804
- [7] D. Palle: Nuovo Cimento **116 B** (2001) 1317
- [8] D. Palle: **astro-ph/0407122**, Nuovo Cimento (2005) in press
- [9] A. D. Challinor and A. N. Lasenby: Phys. Rev. **D 58** (1998) 023001; A. D. Challinor and A. N. Lasenby: ApJ **513** (1999) 1; T. Gebbie and G. F. R. Ellis: Ann. Phys. **82** (2000) 285